Effects of heat conduction and sample size on ultrasonic absorption measurements

	Kevin J. Parker	
2 yr		_
¢		_
		_
//////////////////////////////////////		
<u></u>		
1		
7		_
1.		
λ <u>υ</u>		
		4
4		
- •		
·		
	(Received 9 May 1984; accepted for publication 12 September 1984)	
	The absorption coefficient of a material can be determined by measuring the heating which occurs	
	as a result of ultrasonic irradiation. When narrow focused beams are used to heat a sample, or	
·		
		_
<u> </u>		
ł		
L		
l,		
		1
· · · ·		
	conduction to surrounding unheated regions becomes significant, complicating the relation	



	A.	
F		
1		
<u>Ľ. </u>		
	R > 41	
	$p \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ More generally, when the thermocouple is not centered	$T(x_{0},y_{0},z_{0},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{(x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2})}{(x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2})} \right) \left(-\frac{(x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2})}{(x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2})} \right)$
	in the focal region, the rate of heating method would give $\partial T_{\rm PH} = 2\alpha I_0$	$=\frac{2}{8(\pi kt)}\exp\left(\frac{(4-6)^2+3}{4kt}\right)\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\frac{2}{\sqrt{4kt}}\right).$
	$\frac{1}{e^{2\phi}} = \frac{1}{e^{1/2}} \left[\frac{1}{e^{-1/2}} e^{-1/2} \left[\frac{1}{e^{-1/2}} \right] \right] \left[\frac{1}{e^{-1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{1}{e^{$	(16)
. 1		
	Egyptional (12) seman assurious sectricitions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyptiona (12) seman associans sections on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
¥	Egyptices (12) and (12) remove provide restrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
2	Egyptices (12) and (12) remain maximum restrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyptices (12) and (12) remain provides restrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Earritic-a.(12) and (12) somewarying restrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Easyptices (12) and (12) more merican contrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyptices (12) and (12) remain provide seatoristicans on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Earring a.(12) and (12) memory measuring seatwintions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyptices.(12) and (12) memous measuring contrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyptices (12) and (12) assesses any visue contrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-
	Egyption (17) and (12) seman exercious contrictions on the	The error function rises monotonically from 0 at zero argu-



attenuation. The scaled version is plotted along with the raw data from 0.75-mm depth in Fig. 4. From the theoretical result presented in Eq. (21). the remaining difference 1.25 1.00-

	result presented in Eq. (21). the remaining difference between the 0.75-and 2.5-mm heating curves should be at-	1.00-	
<u> </u>			
- - -			
-,			
\$25. (~~~~~~~			
l	5		
	<u></u> F		
L	· · · · · ·		
<u>k</u>	b		
4 ⁸⁷			
<u>.</u> 			
	٤		
-	به ۲		1
1			
æ			
. <u>, </u>			
. [
بن د			
и 1			
·			
•			
<u>.</u>			
t			
•			
الا — هې او ت			
U			
ŀ <u></u> ⊑			
۲	•		



thus,

$Z/\sqrt{4kt} > 1.2.$						(27)
R	.•	•	·	•	 •	 .

mately 1 mm deep. Radial heat flow in centered rate of heat-ing experiments can also be neglected providing observation times are limited to 0.6 s, and the half-intensity beamwidth is

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		4
Lisa L	(-==	
		1
r		_
· * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ł
24	must hold for $t = 0.6$ s, we have $Z > 0.7$ mm. For pulse decay experiments where we wish to maintain the inequality to the formula of the inequality to t	
<u>, 1</u>		
		1
1		;
-		
) 		